M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/007,186 | 11/05/2001 | Yasushi Kohno | TKA0032 | 5700 |
| MICHAEL S. G | 590 12/19/2006 ZYBOWSKI | EXAMINER | | |
| BUTZEL LONG | 3 | VALENTI, ANDREA M | | |
| 350 SOUTH MA SUITE 300 | AIN STREET | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ANN ARBOR, I | MI 48104 | 3643 | | |
| · | <u> </u> | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 12/19/2006 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Applica | tion No | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/007, | | | KOHNO, YASUSHI | | | |
| | | Examin | er . | Art Unit | | | | |
| | · | Andrea I | M. Valenti | 3643 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun | | | vith the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a | THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO pplication to become A | ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on 09 October 20 | 106 | | • | | | |
| 2a)□ | • | 2b)⊠ This action is | | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | | |
| ٠,۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1,4 and 5 is/are pending in | the application. | | | | | | |
| ٠,٣ | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | · | | | | | | | |
| 7) | _ | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ction and/or election | requirement. | | 1 | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | | |
| • | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | | o) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | g the correction is requ | ired if the drawin | g(s) is objected to. See 37 (| CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected t | o by the Examiner. I | Note the attache | ed Office Action or form P | TO-152. | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • . | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation | documents have be documents have be of the priority documental documents for the priority documents fo | een received. een received in nents have bee ule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this Nationa | ıl Stage | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | · | | | | |
| _ | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Infor | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | PTO-948) | Paper No | o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/007,186

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flowering of Eustoma grandiflorum (Raf.) Shinn. Cultivars Influenced by Photoperiod and Temperature, Brent K. Harbaugh, HortScience 30(7):1375-1377, 1995, 8 pages in view of An evaluation of the potential of low temperature pre-sowing treatments of tomato seeds as a means of improving germination performance, Ann. Appl. Biol. (1987), 110, pg. 185-195 by Coolbear et al and U.S. Patent No. 5,294,593 to Khan.

Regarding Claims 1, 4 and 5, Harbaugh teaches a known method of low temperature treatment to imbibed seeds at 10C for 5 weeks to effect/prevent Rosette formation (Harbaugh page 1, abstract, last paragraph and page 5, Literature Cited, two articles by Pergola). Harbaugh is silent on the imbibing being water and that it is in the dark and drying the plant seed in a dark place. However, pre-germination treatment steps are old and notoriously well-known in the art of plant husbandry. It is general knowledge in the art that light and darkness have effects on germination. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that if a particular seed is a light germinator it is desirable to treat and store the seed in the dark to prevent premature germination.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,186

Art Unit: 3643

Coolbear teaches the seed treatment of allowing seeds to imbibe water at 10C in darkness and then drying the seeds (Coolbear Methods, first paragraph) and inherently relative humidity of 100% (Coolbear teaches the seeds are in a cover dish and are continuously kept moist thus the humidity is 100%, Methods line 2-4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Harbaugh with the teachings of Coolbear at the time of the invention to enhance germination rates and improve uniformity of germination as taught by Coolbear (Coolbear Summary).

Khan teaches that it is old and notoriously well-known to dry hydrated seeds in the dark to prevent a break in dormancy (Khan Col. 3 line 40-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Harbaugh with the teachings of Khan at the time of the invention for preventing loss of dormancy for storing seeds for several months as taught by Khan (Khan Col. 3 line 50-52). Furthermore, examiner maintains that it is old and notoriously well-known knowledge that light and darkness effects seed germination, some seeds germinate under the presence of a light source. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Harbaugh at the time of the invention with the removal of a light sources to prevent light germinating seeds from germinating prematurely to enable storage of the seeds.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, and 5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/007,186

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Valenti whose telephone number is 571-272-6895. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-5:30pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Andrea M. Valenti Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

11 December 2006